


Support policy for development projects to improve living environments.

Scoring and preliminary analysis grid



Promotor : _____

Deposit date : _____

Project title : _____

% Note	ORIENTATIONS AND CRITERIA	SCORE	COMMENTS
5% (mandatory)	1. CRITÈRES GÉNÉRAUX :		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1.1 The organization is eligible (2 points). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The head office of the organization is located on the territory of the MRC. - The project is submitted by an eligible organization. - Cumulative government assistance does not exceed 80% of eligible costs. - The project will be carried out over a period of one year or according to government directives. 	/5	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1.2 All required documents have been received (1 point). 		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1.3 The project responds to one of the intervention priorities targeted by the MRC (1 point). 		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1.4 The project meets in whole or in part the definition of structuring project adopted by the MRC (1 point). 		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
50%	2. THE PROJECT RESPONDS TO A PRIORITY :		
	2.1 Nature of the Project - Which ONE of the intervention priorities does your project respond to? (1 priority) (5 points)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tourism 	/5	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Culture and heritage 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Economic growth 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agriculture 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Socio-community 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environment (agriculture and forestry) 		
2.2 Innovation - What is the specific aspect of your project that makes it innovative? (5 points)			
Provides a complete description of a new concept, not yet realized within the territory of the MRC de Pontiac (5 points) .	/5		
Provide a complete description of a new concept, not yet realized in their municipality (4 points) .			
Provide a complete description of a new concept, not yet carried out in the organization of the applicant (3 points) .			
Names a new concept but lacks the ability to clearly describe how innovative it is (2 points) .			
Names a concept. Does not include any description, lacks innovation. (1 point) .			
No concept, innovative or not is declared (0 point) .			

Support policy for development projects to improve living environments.

Scoring and preliminary analysis grid

2.3. Job creation and economic impacts		
Quality of jobs – created or maintained (3 points)		
Minimum of one job created <i>(3 points)</i> .	/3	
Minimum of one job maintained <i>(2.5 points)</i> .		
The commitment of a local contractors <i>(2 points)</i> .		
The commitment of a contractors outside of the MRC Pontiac, but still within the Province of Québec <i>(unless otherwise proven that the service is not available, then 2 points) (1 point)</i> .		
No employment to declare or all contracts are with outside sources) <i>(0 point)</i> .		
Describe the economic benefits, the social impacts, as well as the potential jobs following the realization of the project (10 points)		
A clear and precise description. Leaves no room for interpretation. Mentions each point of repercussions sought (economic, social, and the potentials) <i>(10-9 points)</i> .	/10	
Presents a clear idea, but the project description does not provide enough information to fully understand the quantitative and qualitative results <i>(8-7 points)</i> .		
A good idea, but leads to interpretation which can be led to a situation of conflict between the expected results and the actual results <i>(6-5 points)</i> .		
A description that gives some confusion to the idea presented <i>(4-3 points)</i> .		
A description that lacks ambition, attraction, breath and does not allow to know what the real investments will be made <i>(2-1 point)</i> .		
No description provided <i>(0 point)</i> .		
Develops commitment and mutual aid in the community (10 points)		
Demonstrates an active commitment between the Pontiac population with a partnership participation of at least two (2) other community organizations <i>(10 points)</i> .	/10	
Demonstrates an active commitment between the Pontiac population with a partnership participation of at least one (1) other community organizations <i>(8 points)</i> .		
Demonstrates an active commitment between the Pontiac population with no partnership participation from other organizations <i>(6 points)</i> .		
Confirms involvement with other community organization but does not explain community involvement <i>(4 points)</i> .		

Support policy for development projects to improve living environments.

Scoring and preliminary analysis grid

Names a partner organization but lacks details on how they will contribute and their ability to do so. No explanation of community involvement (2 points) .		
Provides no community involvement or demonstrates community support. (0 point) .		
2.4. Revitalization and local needs		
Ability to link the project with an existing policy, with description of how the project meets the referred objective (10 points)		
Link the project to an existing policy, with project details. Include a quote of the objective/action the project responds to (10 - 7 points, considering how many shares they refer) .	/10	
Link the project to an existing policy, citing the objective/action to which the project responds. But lacks specific details (6 - 4 points, considering how many shares they refer) .		
Mentions the policy to which the project responds but does not make the link between the objective/action of this policy and the project presented (5 - 3 points, considering how many shares they refer) .		
Describe how the project responds to specific actions but does not mention a policy (2 points) .		
Vague description of the actions, without mention of details concerning a policy (1 point) .		
Make no mention of specific actions (0 point) .		
2.5. Applicant experience and investment capacity		
Name which projects have been carried out in the past, within the framework or NOT of a subsidized program (2 points) .	/2	
Timeline for the realization of the project (5 points) .	/5	
Subtotal :	/50	

Support policy for development projects to improve living environments.

Scoring and preliminary analysis grid

45%	3. PROJECT ESTIMATED COST AND FINANCING:		
	Financial arrangement (budget): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The budget is complete, organized, and realistic (20 points). - Additional sources of funding (5 points). - Promoter down payment demonstrated (20 points). 	/45	
	Subtotal:	/45	
TOTAL POINTS AWARDED :		/100	

EVALUATION REPORT

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
75-100 POINTS	60-74 POINTS	0-59 POINTS
ELIGIBLE PROJECT 1 ST PRIORITY	Projet admissible 2 ND PRIORITY	Project transferred, NOT selected or NOT eligible